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ABSTRACT

Introduction: This study aimed to assess and evaluate Mumbai based orthodontists based on recommended (Centre of Disease Control) infection control procedures followed by them in their practice.

Materials and methods: A cross-sectional study was conducted through an online questionnaire of 24 questions which was sent by personal e-mail and communication through the phone to active orthodontic professionals in Mumbai (n = approximately 300) from January to February, 2018. The questionnaire was accessible for 2 months. The data generated were collected and analyzed.

Results: The results showed that 50.9% of respondents sterilized their instruments at the end of each day and 66.7% used a steam sterilizer. Twenty point three percent sterilized their instruments between patients and 56.4% used heat/self-sealed pouches to package instruments. Twenty-four point four percent stored them in a sterilized environment and 25.6% ran equipment maintenance every month. Eighty-two point two percent sterilized tried-in pre-formed molar bands before putting them back in storage and only 36.2% used steam sterilization for the same. Twenty-seven point five percent placed the bands in an ultrasonic cleaner before sterilization. Sixty six point five percent used a bar in semi-critical instruments according to Spaulding’s classification. The incidence of transmissible diseases is high even in such a setting making the dental staff and patients prone to cross infections. Cross infections result in high levels of morbidity and mortality around the world. It is difficult to detect patients who are infected with bloodborne pathogens like the Hepatitis B virus through a clinical examination in a dental office. Hence, universal precautions have been recommended to minimize the incidence of cross infection. The aseptic procedures employed in an orthodontic office can be classified as semi-critical instruments according to Spaulding’s classification. The incidence of transmissible diseases is high even in such a setting making the dental staff and patients prone to cross infections. Cross infections result in high levels of morbidity and mortality around the world. It is difficult to detect patients who are infected with bloodborne pathogens like the Hepatitis B virus through a clinical examination in a dental office. Hence, universal precautions have been recommended to minimize the incidence of cross infection.

Conclusion: The survey displayed a varying percentage of Orthodontic practitioners who follow recommended centres of disease control and prevention (CDC) infection control and aseptic core orthodontic clinical procedures in Mumbai. There is a need to increase knowledge and awareness regarding general aseptic dental procedures and maintenance of equipment. This demands more proactive attitude towards knowledge acquisition and implementation of aseptic procedures by the orthodontists of Mumbai in a dental office.
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INTRODUCTION

Most of the instruments used in an orthodontic office can be classified as semi-critical instruments according to Spaulding’s classification. The incidence of transmissible diseases is high even in such a setting making the dental staff and patients prone to cross infections. Cross infections result in high levels of morbidity and mortality around the world. It is difficult to detect patients who are infected with bloodborne pathogens like the Hepatitis B virus through a clinical examination in a dental office. Hence, universal precautions have been recommended to minimize the incidence of cross infection. The aseptic procedures employed in an orthodontic office must meet the specifications of the CDC. This will ensure a high level of quality in both treatment delivery and aseptic management thereby reducing the chances of iatrogenic damage to the overall health of doctors and patients alike. Few studies have gathered information on the knowledge of orthodontists about clinical aseptic procedures. We surveyed orthodontists in Mumbai in 2018. The objective was to assess and evaluate Mumbai based orthodontists based on recommended CDC infection control procedures followed by them in their practice. Thus, we will be able to assess the need of creating awareness amongst orthodontists with respect to infection control in the dental office thereby ensuring the safety of the patients, doctors and auxiliary staff in day-to-day practice.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS
The study population (n = 300) included orthodontists actively practicing in Mumbai. The survey included 24 multiple choice questions relating to infection control in routine orthodontic practice. The survey was distributed through personal e-mails and communication through the phone. Follow up included one reminder e-mail and one telephonic message to non-respondents. The questionnaire was accessible for 2 months. The data generated was collected and analyzed.

RESULTS
One hundred and seventy-five percent responses were recorded. Fifty-point-nine percent of respondents sterilized their instruments at the end of each day (Graph 1). Sixty six point seven percent used a steam sterilizer while 18.5% used a dry heat sterilizer. Sixty point five percent disinfected while 12.2% cleaned and 20.3% sterilized their instruments in between patients (Graph 2). Fifty-six-point-four percent used heat/self-sealed pouches to package instruments. Forty three percent stored instruments in a clean environment while 24.4% stored them in a sterilized environment (Graph 3). Twenty five point six percent ran equipment maintenance every month. Eighty-two-point-two percent sterilized tried-in preformed molar bands before putting them back in storage (Graph 4). Eighty percent used a plastic barrier on the dental chair’s light handle (Graph 7) while 24.3% used a barrier around the anesthetic spray canister. Seventy-five-point-nine percent followed standard hand scrub protocol between patients. Thirty-one-point-four

Graph 1: Percentage of responses when asked often instruments were sterilized

Graph 2: Percentage of responses when asked how asepsis was maintained between patients

Graph 3: Percentage of responses when asked how sterilized instruments were stored

Graph 4: Percentage of responses when asked if tried-in molar bands were sterilized before storing
percent had their offices fumigated on a regular basis. Fourteen-point-nine percent had sterilization efficacy tests done (Graph 8). Eighty-five-point-six percent had themselves and their staff vaccinated against Hepatitis B (Graph 9) while 72.8% had received the booster dose (Graph 10). Sixty-seven-point-six percent have been vaccinated against Hepatitis A (Graph 11). Fifty-three-point-four percent underwent regular health check-ups (Graph 12).
DISCUSSION

It is evident from the results that convenient alternatives are being used to reduce instrument sterilization turn-over time. The American Dental Association recommends that semi-critical instruments be sterilized between patients. Eighty-five percent of orthodontists report using steam sterilization while 18.5% use dry heat sterilization. There is no significant difference in the appearance, corrosion, or efficiency of orthodontic pliers when comparing cold disinfection with autoclaving. Disinfection is acceptable for instruments that are sensitive to heat and moisture. Ethically every patient must be treated using a sterilized set of instruments. Some practitioners use separate sets for each patient. Thirty-one percent of the surveyed Orthodontists sterilized their instruments after each patient while 50.9% sterilized their instruments at the end of each working day. Eight percent of the respondents sterilized their instrument sets at the end of each week. Though sterilization is the optimum means of infection control, only 20.3% of the respondents did so; 12.2% cleaned while 60.5% disinfected their instruments in between patients. Packaging instruments allow the penetration of active sterilizing agents and maintain the sterilized state after removal from the chamber. Forty-three percent of the respondents store their instruments in a clean environment, 24.4% in a sterile chamber (example UV chamber), 19.2% in a disinfected unit and 12.8% in an untreated storage system. Though storage of unwrapped semi-critical instruments is discouraged as it exposes them to contaminants, clean dental instruments can be stored in isolated storage units.

Sterilization units must be maintained on a weekly basis. This will ensure a quality control of aseptic orthodontic practice. Twenty-five percent claimed a monthly maintenance regime; 22% did it every 3 months. Nine percent at 6-month intervals, and 30.4% ran maintenance only when equipment became faulty.

Seventy-two percent of respondents use pre-form molar bands. Molar bands that have been tried in the patient’s mouth but deselected for use must be cleaned and sterilized before they are put back into storage. Thirty-six percent of respondents followed the recommended protocol; 22.4% used chemical sterilization, 8.6% used clinical spirit, while 24.1% used chemical disinfection. Only 27% used an ultrasonic cleaner before sterilizing the tried-in bands.

Elastomeric chains (E-chains), elastomeric ligatures cannot be sterilized or disinfected as their physical properties do not permit it. The use of individual patient packets or taking a little excess than what is required from the spool can reduce chances of spool contamination and cross-infection between patients. Sixty-six percent of respondents used pre-cut lengths of E-chain, 92.2% used pre-cut stainless steel (SS) ligature wire.

Maintaining records is often as arduous as it is “important. Alginate impressions must be placed in disinfectant like 1% Sodium hypochlorite or 2% glutaraldehyde for a maximum of 10 minutes. Guidelines for alginate impression disinfection must be followed to reduce cross-infection in the laboratory. Twenty-nine percent of respondents followed this protocol.

Light handle and anesthetic spray canister are difficult to sterilize. Hence it is recommended that a protective plastic barrier be placed around them while using them. One thousand two-hundred-fifty-three percent of respondents used a barrier around light handles and 24.3% used a protective barrier around the anesthetic spray canister. One response stated that the dental assistant held the canister. Four handed dentistry is a validated solution to most of the problems faced when
it comes to transferring items and touching housekeeping surfaces. Strict protocol of hand scrubbing must be followed especially when contaminated surfaces have been touched with bare hands.23 Seventy-five point nine percent of respondents claimed to follow surgical hand scrub protocol in between patients.

Air and surface disinfection of any health care facility must be carried out regularly.20,21 Thirty-one point nine percent claimed to run sterilization efficacy tests. Following the CDC recommendations for hepatitis B vaccination, serologic testing, follow-up, and booster dosing reduces the probability of contracting the disease.23,24 Eighty-five point six percent of the respondents claimed to have received vaccination against Hepatitis B while 72.8% have received the booster dose. Thirty-two point four percent have been vaccinated against hepatitis A. Regular health check-ups are essential to maintain an infection-free workspace.31 Three-point-four percent of respondents claimed to have undergone regular health check-ups themselves and ensured the same for their auxiliary staff as well.

This study evaluates on a small portion of infection control procedures and can be expanded to involve more updates recommended by the CDC.

CONCLUSION
The survey displayed a varying percentage of orthodontic practitioners who follow recommended CDC infection control and aseptic core orthodontic clinical procedures in Mumbai. There is a need to increase knowledge and awareness regarding general aseptic dental procedures and maintenance of equipment. This demands a more proactive attitude towards knowledge acquisition and implementation of aseptic procedures by the orthodontists of Mumbai in the dental office.

CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE
This article will bring to light the areas of infection control protocol that Orthodontic practitioners can focus on and improve in order to provide wholesome and aseptic treatment to all patients.
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